
Chapter 4: Conclusions

We have explained that The Community of Democracies is not a political alliance. But its member states are joined by a shared hope for further progress toward democracy in the world. They support the efforts of civil society to create a virtual international community of democrats.

The working ground rules for the *Handbook's* construction held that there are no hard and fast prescriptions for democracy transformation, apart from the fact that the process and its outcomes best emerge peacefully from civil society itself. But active democrats and human rights defenders expect, and benefit from, the encouragement and support of democrats everywhere.

Democracy development is a function of process, and sound institutions, but very much also one of behavior, which cannot just be transferred, as technique. It requires time, patience, and hard application. Outside support needs to be sustained over time.

The critical resources are those of human capital in the countries concerned. Civil society forms the building blocks of democratization.

The *Handbook* aims to explain how democratic governments have used their Embassies, Consulates, and diplomatic officers in the past to provide such encouragement and support. Again, each situation is different. There is also a varying mix of factors involved for each of the members of the Community of Democracies in policy emphasis and deployment of personnel.

We underline the extent to which diplomatic representation has itself been undergoing transformation, from being an enterprise consisting of private, government to government, transactions to one in which people-to-people and public diplomacy are central features of the professional skill sets required today.

Of course, the skills involved are used in differing mixtures, depending on whether the host country is a failed, failing, or post-conflict state, a military or theocratic dictatorship, a regime of populist authoritarianism, a fledgling and fragile democracy, or a complex democracy trying to consolidate democratic institutions and purposes. In citing examples from the last decades, we avoided slotting host countries into one category or another. Member states wish to avoid such attempts to judge member countries according to snapshots of their governance. Independent NGOs already analyze relative governance very effectively.

Instead, we chose a number of case-studies which attempt to show a wide variety of situations and challenges. Some of the narratives, such as Chile, South Africa, or Ukraine, are in the past tense -- which is obviously not to suggest that history is over for the countries concerned.

Other narratives are very much in the here and now, such as Belarus, Burma, and Zimbabwe. Their next chapters remain to be written by the people themselves.

In these case studies set forth next, and such instructive episodes of transition as are detailed for Sierra Leone, and Tanzania, we expect that practitioners in the field will find elements familiar to the situation they are closest to at present, and will be assisted in developing their own approaches and programs for democracy development support on the ground.

In the years to come, we shall update the case-studies and add new ones. We shall modify and expand the *Handbook* itself to take account of comments from readers and users.

To conclude, we hope the *Handbook* serves the helpful concrete purposes intended. In doing so, it serves a higher interest of promoting both greater satisfaction for the aspirations of many millions of individuals, and a more secure and open international environment for all.

Princeton, N.J.
April, 2008